

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LONNIE L. HODGES,)
) CIV. S-04-2535 GEB KJM
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
) (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
) CONFERENCE
COUNTY OF SHASTA; CITY OF REDDING;)
LARRY F. MOTY Chief of Polica;)
JIM POPE, Shasta County Sheriff;)
DEPUTY PAT LANHAM; OFFICER ZUFALL;)
OFFICER FREDRICK; OFFICER BEEMAN;)
CORPORAL BULLINGTON; OFFICER)
MAGLEBY; OFFICER ZALES; OFFICER)
ZALESNY; OFFICER WILKES; OFFICER)
SCHULTZ; OFFICER MORAVEC; OFFICER)
FREDRICK; OFFICER OSTROWSKI;)
Doe Officers 1-15, inclusive,)
)
Defendants.)

The Order to Show Cause ("OSC") filed March 18, 2005,
continued the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference in this action
to May 9, 2005, and required the parties to file a joint status report
no later than April 25, 2005. Although no joint status report was
filed as required, on March 29, 2005, Plaintiff's counsel filed a
Response to Order to Show Cause in which he stated "We have now . . .
effected service. The parties are in the process of preparing the
Joint Statement and request a two week postponement of the Pretrial
Conference [sic] so we may adequately comply." (Response to OSC at
2.) Therefore, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is

1 continued to June 6, 2005, at 9:00 a.m. A joint status report shall
2 be filed no later than May 23, 2005.¹

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: April 27, 2005

5
6 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
7 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 ¹ The failure of one or more of the parties to
26 participate in the preparation of the joint status report does
27 not excuse the other parties from their obligation to timely file
28 a status report in accordance with this Order. In the event a
party fails to participate as ordered, the party timely
submitting the status report shall include a declaration
explaining why it was unable to obtain the cooperation of the
other party or parties.